

Student Feedback Analysis Report

2016-17

Department : Undergraduate -BBA & B.Com(C.A.)

Feedback collected during: September 2016

Number of students enrolled for the Program: 944 (638 BBA and 306 BCCA)

Number of students participated: 96


Sr. No.	Parameter	% Response		
		Satisfied	Neutral	Not Satisfied
1	Regularity / Punctuality of class	54	30	16
2	Focus on Syllabus	50	28	22
3	Understanding of the subject	55	30	15
4	Lecture Delivery	50	40	08
5	Students Interaction (for Difficulty solving)	42	40	18
6	Providing teaching material	50	28	22
7	Class Control	34	36	30
8	Helps students in realizing career goals	35	42	23
9	Approachability of the faculty	40	30	30
10	Usefulness of PEP activities	60	25	15
11	Guest lectures	30	45	25
12	Library Facility	46	24	30
13	Canteen Facility	32	30	38
14	Cleanliness of Classrooms & Washrooms	25	16	59
15	Industry Visit	47	25	28

Inferences:

1. Students are highly dissatisfied with the canteen menu and facilities.
2. Students are dissatisfied with the cleanliness of washrooms.
3. Students are dissatisfied related to some topics of guest lecturers.
4. Students were satisfied with the teaching method used by the faculty.
5. Students were fairly satisfied with the approachability of faculty.

Specific Remarks:

1. Students requested to allow them to enter the classes even if they are late.
2. Students suggested some more activities to be added in PEP.



Prof. Carol Poonekar

Program Coordinator

Students Feedback Analysis Report

2016-17

Department: PG (MBA)

Feedback collected during: September 2016

Total No. of students enrolled: 329

No. of students participated in Feedback: 60


Sr. No.	Parameter	% Response		
		Satisfied	Neutral	Not Satisfied
1	Relevance of Curriculum Contents	30	40	30
2	Effectiveness of Classroom Teaching Methods	40	35	25
3	Effectiveness of Activities for learning	40	40	20
4	Effectiveness of CRT sessions	50	35	25
5	Regularity and Punctuality of Teachers	60	25	15
6	Opportunities for student – teacher interaction	45	40	15
7	Working Hours and Recess Duration	45	35	20
8	Availability of Books, Periodical and Magazines in Library	45	40	15
9	Availability of computer, LCD projector and network facilities in classrooms	30	35	35
10	Usefulness of Computer Laboratories	35	30	35
11	Cleanliness of classrooms and other physical facilities	25	35	40
12	Support from administration staff during various activities	50	30	20
13	Canteen Facilities	35	15	50

Inferences:

1. Dissatisfaction about network facilities in classrooms
2. Extra classes for English communication to be arranged
3. Canteen facilities to be improved
4. Dissatisfaction of students regarding cleanliness of washrooms was noted.
5. Support from admin staff was satisfactory among the students

Special Remarks:

1. Prof. Rohan Pankule's classes are boring
2. Free wi-fi facilities shall be provided
3. CRT sessions to be more activity oriented.



Prof. Yogesh Gharpure

Program Coordinator

Alumni Feedback Analysis Report

2016-17

Department: UG (BBA/ BCCA) & PG (MBA)

No. of students participated in feedback: 120

SN	Parameters evaluated	Response %		
		Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied
1	Practical Application of Course Syllabus	30	20	50
2	Quality of Faculties	60	20	20
3	Internal Assessment Method adopted by the Institute	35	35	30
4	Overall Quality of the Institute	70	20	10
5	Effectiveness of Activities organised in the Institute	35	25	40
6	Infrastructure facilities available in the Institute	25	40	35
7	Support of Training & Placement Team of the Institute	25	35	40
8	Healthy, and supportive atmosphere of the Institute	70	15	15
9	Help and Support of Non Teaching Staff	60	30	10

Inferences:

1. Alumnus shown displeasure over the practicability of the course contents
2. Support of admin staff was appreciated by the alumni
3. Dissatisfaction on conduct of no. of placement related activities for the students
4. Displeasure of quality of infrastructural facilities in the Institute.
5. Internal assessment method to be more transparent and student friendly

Special Remarks:

1. More no of companies to be called for placements
2. Good facilities like, Free wi-fi, canteen, computer lab to be developed.
3. Separate sports team for UG and PG
4. Separate coaching for Improving Aptitude of the students
5. More mock GD, PI to be conducted.



Dr. Praveen Mustoor

Chairman - Training & Placements

Faculty Feedback Analysis Report

2016-17

Program: PG (MBA)

Feedback collected during: February 2017

No. of faculties on Roll: 33

Number of faculties participated: 20


S.No.	Parameters Evaluated	% of Response				
		SA	A	N	D	SD
A	Course Design:					
1	Accuracy and relevance of curriculum	30	30	20	15	5
2	Emphasis of employability in curriculum design	20	20	25	30	15
3	Faculty involvement in curriculum design	-	-	-	90	10
4	Updating the curriculum from time to time	-	30	30	30	10
B	Teaching, Learning, & Evaluation:					
1	Sufficiency of books in library	50	40	10	-	-
2	Syllabus application in practice	10	10	30	25	25
3	Sufficient infrastructure (Class rooms, reading room)	90	10	-	-	-
4	Conduct of Class tests & End session exams	90	10	-	-	-
5	Faculty freedom in implementing new teaching methods	100	-	-	-	-
6	Conducive environment for Teaching & Research	90	10	-	-	-
7	Availability of teaching aids	100	-	-	-	-
8	Encouragement to faculties for consultancy services, workshops/ seminars, industry linkage etc	90	10	-	-	-
9	Environment conducive for professional as well as personal development	100	-	-	-	-
C	Infrastructure					
1	Adequacy of furniture & classrooms	90	10	-	-	-
2	Maintenance of building & furniture	80	10	10	-	-
3	Adequate parking facility	100	-	-	-	-
4	Adequate sports infrastructure	80	10	10	-	-
5	Up to date computer labs	60	10	15	5	10
6	Safe drinking water facility	90	10	-	-	-
7	Cleanliness of toilets for faculty	20	20	-	40	20

Inferences:

1. Practical application of curriculum design by the university needs more focus.
2. Curriculum needs to be changed in consultation with industry experts.
3. Irregularity in cleanliness of washrooms was noted.
4. Faculties were satisfied over healthy and conducive work environment in the Institute.

Specific Remarks:

1. Institute should conduct faculty development program on a regular basis.
2. Concern over slow internet facility in classrooms was noted.
3. Installation of PA system in all classrooms was suggested by the faculty members



Dr. Sanjay Kavishwar

Dean – Academics